THE BIBLE-GOD'S OWN BOOK AS SHOWN THROUGH GREAT SCIENTIFIC TRUTHS

THE MATERIAL IN THIS REPORT IS BASED ON THE BOOK BY T.J. MCCROSSAN
THE BIBLE: ITS CHRIST AND MODERNISM

The material has been edited by
Dr. Gary E. La More, Ph.D., D.D.
Pastor of Grace Missionary Baptist Church
and
President of Historic Baptist Bible College and Seminary
1378 Kingston Road
Scarborough, Ontario, Canada
M1N 1R2
1-416-281-4865
http://www.gracembc.org
email: gracemissionary@bellnet.ca

LORD, HELP US TO RECOGNIZE ERROR, EVEN WHEN IT IS PROCLAIMED BY ONE WHO PROFESSES TO BE LEARNED

THE BIBLE-GOD'S OWN BOOK
AS SHOWN THROUGH GREAT SCIENTIFIC TRUTHS

The great scientific truths of which one is about to read were unknown to all mankind until hundreds of years later.

(1) *Compare the creation story of Genesis with the belief of the Hindus, Chinese, and others*.

In the sacred books of the Hindus [one] reads: "Millions upon millions of cycles ago, this world came to be. It was made a flat, triangular plane, with high hills and mountains, and great waters. It exists in several stories, and the whole mass is held up on the heads of elephants, with their tails turned out, and their feet resting on the shell of an immense tortoise, and the tortoise on the coil of a great snake; and when the elephants shake themselves, that makes the earth to quake." If [one] found any such teaching as this in Genesis, [he] would know for a surety the Bible was not God's Book.

(2) *The Chinese* tell [the reader] that their god, Pwangu, carved the heavens out of granite. If such a foolish statement were found in Genesis [one] would know it was not God's Book.

(3) *Pythagoras*, *Plato*, and *Aristotle* (400 B.C.) taught that the earth was a living being.

(4) *Zeno* (300 B.C.) held that this universe sprang into being from it own inherent energy.

(5) *Epicurus* (300 B.C.) said this universe came into existence by a fortuitous concourse of atoms.

(6) *Thales of Miletus* (600 B.C.) taught that water was the origin of all things.

(7) *Aneximenes* [sic-Anaximenes] (600 B.C.) said air was the origin of all things.

(8) *Heraclitus of Ephesus* (500 B.C.) held that fire was the origin of all things.

(9) *In Moses' day the Egyptians led the world in science*, yet her best scholars taught, as Plato informs [his readers], that both the earth and the heavens originated out of a kind of pulp, and men were generated from the slime of the River Nile. Other Egyptian scholars taught that this earth was hatched from a winged egg.

If [one] found any of these absurd theories in Genesis [he] would know the Bible was not God's inspired Book. [One] finds however that the teachings in Genesis agree exactly with the findings of the very best scientists of the past [seventy-five] years.

In 1885 Gladstone and [Thomas Henry] Huxley debated this very question, whether or not the creation story of Genesis was in accord with the findings of modern science. **When both men had completed their arguments, they agreed to hand them over to Dana, the greatest living geologist of their time, and let him decide this great question. Dana, the great American geologist, and mutual friend, closely examined the arguments of both men, and his decision can be found in the Nineteenth Century Magazine of August 1886.** ***Dana here says: "I agree in all essential points with Mr. Gladstone, and I believe that the first chapter of Genesis and Science are in accord."*** **For a full and accurate account of this debate, and the decision, see Sir Robert Anderson's book, "A Doubter's Doubts Regarding Science and Religion." A decision like this from the very greatest geologist of modern times, ought to cause serious thought.**

Sir Wm. Dawson**, the greatest scholar Canada has ever produced, and one of the very greatest geologists of modern times, agrees with Dana. He says: "The order of creation as stated in Genesis is faultless in the light of modern science, and many of the details present the most remarkable agreement with the results of sciences born only in our own day." See "The Origin of the World," by Sir Wm. Dawson.**

Listen also to *Hugh Miller*, another of the very greatest geologists of modern times. He says: "It is only as the fulness of time comes, in the brighter light of increasing scientific knowledge, that the grand old oracles of the Bible, so apparently simple, but so marvelously pregnant with meaning, stand forth at once cleared of all erroneous human glosses, and vindicated as the inspired testimonies of Jehovah." Read Miller's "Testimony of the Rocks."

Again listen to *Prof. Arnold H. Guyot*, whose name was enrolled with every great scientific association of the world. Regarding the wonderful harmony between his own geological findings and Genesis, he says: "To a sincere and unsophisticated mind it must be evident that the grand outlines sketched by Moses are the same as those which modern science enables us to trace, however imperfect and unsettled the details furnished by scientific inquiries may appear on many points." Then he adds, "The same divine hand which lifted up before the eyes of Daniel and Isaiah the veil which covered the tableau of the time to come, unveiled before the eyes of the author of Genesis the earliest ages of the creation; and Moses was the prophet of the past, as Daniel and Isaiah and many others were the prophets of the future."

Again listen to Dana**-and no greater geological authority has ever spoken on this subject. He says: "When one reads the creation story in Genesis, the first thought that strikes the scientific reader is the evidence of divinity, not merely in the first verse of the record and the successive fiats, but in the whole order of creation. There is so much that the most recent readings of science have for the first time explained, that the idea of man as the author becomes utterly incomprehensible. By proving the record true, science pronounces it divine; for who could have correctly narrated the secrets of eternity but God**

Himself?" Words like these, from the lips of perhaps the very greatest geologist of modern times, ought surely to provoke serious thought on the part of all conscientious people who have been led to doubt the authority of the Bible. These quotations from Miller, Guyot, Dana and many other great scientists will be found in Townsend's splendid book, "Bible Theology and Modern Thought." pp. 247-250.

Let [one] remember that when Moses wrote Genesis, the Jews were just as ignorant of science as the Hindus, the Chinese or the Egyptians; yet here [one] finds a record of creation endorsed by the very greatest geologists of modern times. There is just one possible explanation of this, viz: the God who created this universe must have revealed this knowledge to Moses.

***Geo. Fred. Wright of Oberlin*, was perhaps the very greatest of all...American geologists after Dana's death. In his great work, "Scientific Confirmation of the Old Testament," published in 1907, he says regarding Genesis 1 (p. 370): "Upon inspection, there appears in this account a systematic arrangement of creative facts which correspond so closely with the order of creation as revealed by modern science, that we cannot regard it as accidental." He then declares with Miller, Guyot, Dana, Dawson, Winchell, and other modern geologists that the only possible explanation of Genesis is that the author, Moses, was inspired of God.**

In this same great work Dr. Wright proves most conclusively that the story of the Deluge (Gen. 7) agrees exactly with the findings of himself and other leading scientists.

***Regarding this flood narrative Prof. L.T. Townsend*, in his splendid book, "The Deluge History Or Myth," p. 43, says: "Sir Henry Howarth, the Duke of Argyle, Sir Wm. Dawson, Dana, Nordenskield, Dawkins, Cope, and the French geologists, Christy and Lartet, have all concluded...that the first age of humanity on earth, was brought to its close by a flood, and that the mammoth, mastodon, and other large animals, and man (to employ the exact words of these scientists) were destroyed by a tremendous and destructive inundation."**

Sir Henry Howarth, after presenting many invincible scientific arguments that there has been a widespread calamity caused by a great flood, says: "I do not see how the historian, the archeologist, and the palaeontologist can avoid making this conclusion in future a prime factor in their discussions, and I venture to think that before long it will be accepted as unanswerable." (The Mammoth and the Flood, p. 463.)

Then, when the Modernists ridicule the so styled unscientific trash of Genesis, let [one] remember that they do so only because they are ignorant of the findings of the really great scientists of modern times.

All, who are cognizant of the real facts, know that our Modernistic scholars,

as a class, do their utmost to keep their students from reading the books of the great scholars and scientists who uphold the Bible as God's inspired Word. This they accomplish by constantly instilling into their minds the thought that all scholarship worth the name agrees with their teaching. The sad result is that the youth of our land are growing up utterly ignorant of the findings of such great scientists as Hugh Miller, Dana, Howarth, Wm. Dawson, Agassiz, Guyot, Winchell, Geo. Fred. Wright, and others.

[The writer of this work] ventures the assertion here that if any open minded persons will read the books already quoted in these pages, and will then add to this list, "The Bible and Modern Criticism," by Sir Robert Anderson; "The Problem of the Old Testament," by James Orr; and especially "The Origin of the Pentateuch," by that great Jewish scholar and thinker, Harold M. Wiener, they will be thoroughly convinced, (1) that the Bible is the inspired Word of God; (2) that the world's best scholarship has been and is on the side of the Bible and, (3) that Modernism rests entirely on a foundation of false scholarship-a mass of mere probabilities and assumptions.

As a sample of the unscientific trash of the Bible, Modernists often point to Joshua's long day (Josh. 10:12-14). Here [one] reads that Joshua said: "Sun, stand thou still upon Gibeon...(13) And the sun stood still,...." What Joshua really said was, Sun, be inactive. In other words, do not cause the earth to move so quickly on its axis. He desired God to extend the daylight to give him time to utterly defeat the five kings who had come against him. Read now Collett's great work, "All About the Bible," pp. 284-288, and Munhall's book, "The Highest Critics vs. the Higher Critics," p. 60, and you will find that Prof. Totten, of Yale, in his great work, "Joshua's Long Day," has clearly proved that there was one long day at that very period in the world's history. Prof. W. Maunders, F.R.S.A., of the Royal Observatory, Greenwich, has, after most careful scientific investigation, come to the same conclusion as Prof. Totten. Collett shows also that Greece, Egypt, and China, the three great record-keeping countries of the world, have a record of one long day at about this very period in the world's history. Modernists, as a class, do not know the above facts are in existence, as they only read books which harmonize with their own preconceived ideas.

Some scientific facts known to the Bible hundreds of years before men were aware of them:

(1) *The Bible tells us the earth is round and hangs in space.*

_____Proverbs 8:27 (1000 B.C.), "He [God] set a compass (circle) upon the face of the depth." Isaiah 40:22 (800-700 B.C.), "It is He [God] that sitteth upon the circle of the earth...." Then according to the Bible the earth is a circle: it is round. O, says some Modernist, that is just a chance expression, and means nothing: if you can prove that the Bible also knew that the earth was hanging in space, when all men down to Copernicus

(1475 A.D.), believed it rested on some great solid foundation, then we might be convinced. Read Job 26:7 (1500 B.C.): "He [God] stretcheth out the north over the empty place, and hangeth the earth upon nothing." Yes, the Bible taught that this earth was round and hung in space hundreds of years before Copernicus was born, and he was the very first scientist to discover these facts. How is it that the Bible alone knew these truths? There is only one explanation. It is God's own Book.

(2) ***The Bible knew also that this earth rotated on its axis.***

This is clearly implied in Genesis 1 where the days are described as succeeding one another, and each day consisting of "evening and morning," "day and night." Now this succession of day and night regularly, can only be explained by the earth's rotation on its axis. Unless the earth so rotated, one side-that nearest the sun-would be always light, while the opposite side would be always in darkness. While this scientific truth is not actually stated, it is most clearly taught by the declaration that day followed night regularly. See Collett's great book, "All About the Bible," pp. 278, 279. This is a book every honest doubter ought to read.

(3) ***No scientist before Galileo (1630 A.D.) was aware that the air had weight.***

This was considered a great discovery, and yet in Job 28:25 (1500 B.C.), we read, "To make the weight for the winds (ruak);...." [That is, to assign to the wind or air (ruak) its weight.] How did the Bible know this?

(4) ***In Job 38:31 we find these words:***

"Canst thou bind the sweet influences of Pleiades, or loose the bands of Orion?" For years scholars have known that the word here for Pleiades (Chimah) meant a hinge, a pivot, or an axle, a body that moves around, and turns other bodies with it; but the true significance of this name has only lately been comprehended. M. Madler, of Dorpat, has recently discovered that Alcyone, the brightest star of the Pleiades, is the centre of gravity of our vast solar system; that our solar system is flying around Alcyone at the rate of 422,000 miles a day, but in an orbit that requires many thousands of years to complete. This then explains why the Bible calls the Pleiades, the hinge, pivot, or axle. See Bettex's book, "Science and Christianity," p. 248, and Dr. McMillan's, "Bible Teaching In Nature," pp. 7, 8. How came the Bible to know this wonderful scientific fact thousands of years before any man had discovered it? The Bible is God's own Book.

(5) ***About 1500 A.D. Copernicus discovered that the earth revolved around the sun.***

Up to that time all men thought the sun revolved around the earth. This was considered a marvelous scientific fact; yet the Bible knew this 1500 B.C. Job 38:13, 14 (1500 B.C.), "That it (the day spring or sun) might take hold of the ends of the earth,...it (the

earth-not the sun) is turned as clay to the seal;...." Here is a clear declaration that the earth is "heliocentric." How did the Bible know this when all men were ignorant of it until 3000 years later?

(6) ***Not before Galileo's time (1630 A.D.) did men discover that the winds had regular circuits, and that rain clouds were only evaporated water.***

Now the Bible knew this hundreds of years before Galileo was born. (900 B.C.), Eccles. 1:6-7 - The wind goeth toward the south, and turneth about unto the north; it whirleth about continually, and the wind returneth again according to his circuits. 7All the rivers run into the sea; yet the sea *is* not full; **(Why?)** [U]nto the place from whence the rivers come, thither they return again. **Here then we have these two great scientific facts set forth: (1) that the winds have regular circuits, and (2) that the rain clouds are composed of evaporated water, water that had before fallen from the clouds to the earth and been taken back to the clouds again. "Unto the place from whence the rivers come (*from the clouds*), thither they return again (*by evaporation*)."**

(7) Oct. 5, 1915, Dr. Lee DeForest *announced to the world that he had made electric lights play for him music, surpassing by far any orchestral music he had ever heard;* thus [one] knows that light can give forth musical sounds. But the Bible knew this scientific truth hundreds of years ago. Psalm 65:8 - **"... thou makest the outgoings of the morning and evening to rejoice." Literally this reads, "Thou makest the radiations of the morning and evening to sing." Then in** Job 38:7 **(1500 B.C.), [one] reads, "When the morning stars sang together,"..... This expression has often been quoted as a sample of the unscientific nonsense of the Bible; but now [one] knows this is but another proof that the Bible is far in advance of man's thought, for light does produce musical sounds. [One] cannot hear this music of the stars, but God can, and [one] will soon be able to do so.**

In the *Scientific American* of Nov. 1924, is a most interesting article by Fred M. Delano, Jr., on the theme, "Listening to the Stars." He says in part: "Suppose, a few months from now that you are listening at your radio receiver somewhere in France for the nightly time signal from the great radio station of the Eiffel Tower. As the zero hour approaches you will hear in your telephone a clear musical note. That is the music of a star. At the exact second which marks the beginning of the new day, that musical note will change sharply in tone. That tells [the listener] when to set [his] clock. The

star that fixes the time for all France has gone, literally, under the wire. This is the real purpose and accomplishment of the much-discussed new method of 'hearing starlight' developed by two French scientists and radio experts, General Gustave Ferrie and Monsieur R. Jouaust.... They have devised an apparatus which converts the light of the star automatically into an electric signal... The essential thing about the invention is the original conversion of the starlight into electric energy, and then into the radio wave. The tiny photo-electric cell is the instrument upon which the stars will play the music that French radio listeners will hear."

Then when the Bible says (Psa. 65:8), "Thou makest the outgoings (radiations) of the morning and evening to rejoice (sing)," and again (Job 38:7), "When the morning stars sang together" it reveals its knowledge of a marvelous scientific fact only discovered by human wisdom in [the reader's] own day. **Dear reader, God has placed these wonderful truths in this Book for the one express purpose of convincing [you, the reader] that the Bible is indeed His very own Word to man.**

(8) *After Lord Rosse invented his great telescope*, so powerful that newspaper print could be read twenty miles away, then it was discovered that in the north there was a great empty space without a single, solitary star. In all other parts of the heavens were millions of stars, but none in that great northern space. This was counted another remarkable scientific discovery. But listen to Job 26:7 (1500 B.C.): "*He stretcheth out the north over the empty place, and hangeth the earth upon nothing.*" How did the Bible know this great scientific fact fully 3500 years before any man discovered it? Surely, reader, you can never again doubt the inspiration of the Bible.

(9) *In Job 38:35 [one] reads*, "Canst thou send lightnings, that they may go, and say unto thee, Here we *are?*" All down the ages infidels have laughed at these words; the idea of sending messages by lightning. But this is the only word the Hebrews had for electricity, so, in this age of radio messages, [one] realizes that Job 38:35 is a very scientific statement, for today [one] can send forth messages by lightnings, or electricity. **This is only another proof that the Bible is far in advance of all modern science.**

(10) *In Job 38:19 (1500 B.C.) we read, "Where is the way where light dwelleth?...."* Note, it does not say, Where is the

place where light dwelleth? This would have been scientifically wrong, as light travels at the rate of 186,000 miles per second, and so could not possibly dwell in any one place. Here then [one] has an exact scientific statement regarding light fully 3000 years before any scientist knew sufficient about light to know that it could not dwell in any one place. What is the explanation? **The Bible is God's own Book.**

(11) ***It is not very many years ago since our chemists discovered that all substances to combine chemically must be weighed or measured exactly as they will only combine in certain exact proportions*****. For instance, table salt is designated "Na Cl" (Na, for Sodium, and Cl for Chlorine gas). The atomic weight of sodium is 23. The atomic weight of Chlorine gas in [sic - is] 35.5. This means that in 58.5 lbs. Of salt we have 23 lbs. Of sodium, and 35.5 lbs of chlorine gas. Only in these proportions will sodium and chlorine gas combine. Again, take water. [Scientists] term it "H^2O," and that means 2 parts of hydrogen to one of oxygen. The combining weight of hydrogen is 1; the combining weight of oxygen is 16. Then to form 18 lbs. Of water God must carefully measure out 2 lbs of hydrogen and 16 lbs. Of oxygen. Water can be formed only by mixing these gases together in these exact proportions. Now what is true of water and table salt is true of every other substance which God has created; the ingredients of each substance can only be combined as they are measured or weighed out in certain exact proportions. See Prof. I.R. Dean's book, "The Bible and Science." Now Isaiah expresses this great scientific truth (800-700 B.C.), when he says (Isa. 40:12): "*Who hath measured the waters in the hollow of his hand, and meted out heaven with the span, and comprehended the dust of the earth in a measure, and weighed the mountains in scales, and the hills in a balance?*** This simply means that God measured and weighed the ingredients of every substance He created. But how did Isaiah know this great chemical secret hundreds of years before any scientist discovered it? Is it any wonder that men who really know their Bible believe it to be the inspired Word of God?**

(12) ***Hipparchus*** **(125 A.D.)** ***said there were 1022 stars. Ptolemy*** **(200 A.D.) Said thare [sic - there] were just 1026 stars.** ***Galileo*** **was the very first scientist to teach that the stars were innumerable.** ***The Bible however knew this fact 1400 B.C.*** **Gen. 15:5, "...Look now toward heaven, and tell the stars, if thou be able to number, them:...." Jer. 33:22 (600 B.C.), "...The host of heaven (meaning here the stars) cannot be numbered...." How came the Bible to be right on this subject in an age when all the scholars of the world were ignorant of this scientific fact? Again there is but one explanation. The Bible is God's own Book.**

(13) ***Wm. Harvey (1615 A.D.) discovered the circulation of the blood*****, and through this discovery ascertained one of the greatest scientific finds of modern times, viz: that the life of the flesh is in the blood. Now read Lev. 17:11 (1400 B.C.): "For the life of the flesh is in the blood...." Reader, it is only as men make these new discoveries that [one] appreciates what an up-to-date Book the Bible really is.**

(14) ***A few score years ago all our scientists thought the moon as a great luminous body like the sun*****, and so it was counted a wonderful scientific discovery when it was found that it did not shine itself, but only reflected the light of the sun. The Bible however tells [one] this hundreds of years ago. Job 25:5 (1500 B.C.), "...The moon...it shineth not...."**

(15) ***The Bible [knew in] 700 B.C. that [this present generation] would have automobiles*****. In Nahum 2:3-4 [one] reads regarding the kind of carriages people would use in the day of Christ's preparation, just before He comes back to this earth with His angelic hosts to destroy all His enemies and set up His Millennial kingdom (See II Thess. 1:7-10). Nahum says: "...The chariots shall be with flaming torches in the day of his preparation.... "The chariots shall rage in the streets, they shall justle one against another in the broad ways: they shall seem like torches, they shall run like the lightnings." This is a splendid description of our modern automobile. How did Nahum know that in the age, just before the Lord Jesus would return to earth (the day of His preparation) chariots would crowd our highways which would rage, run like lightning, and look like flaming torches? In the light of this great truth, had [one] not better begin each day by looking for the coming of [his] Lord?**

(16) ***Again the Bible is the only ancient Book in all the world that insisted on quarantining contagious diseases*****. In Lev. 13:45- 46, [one] reads how to deal with the lepers: "And the leper in whom the plague *is,* his clothes shall be rent, and his head bare, and he shall put a covering upon his upper lip, and shall cry, Unclean, unclean. [46]All the days wherein the plague *shall be* in him he shall be defiled; he *is* unclean: he shall dwell alone; without the camp *shall* his habitation *be."* The Bible here gives the world its very first idea of quarantining contagious diseases. Why? Because it is God's own Book, and so imparts His wisdom to men.**

(17) ***The Bible evidently knew all about [the] very latest explosive, T.N.T.*** **The Germans were able to use this explosive to good advantage, because they could make it just behind the lines. The allies could not make use of it, because, in conveying it to the front, it was very apt to explode. The English Government therefore requested America to put her chemists to work to find some way of making T.N.T. so pure, that it could be shipped any distance without exploding. The chemists after a long period of time of experiments were able to make 8,000 lbs. with only one pound of impurities. This they considered safe to transport, and so shipped it to Hoboken to be sent to the front. However it exploded there destroying much property. [The American] chemists then went to work again, and at last succeeded in making 16,000 lbs. of T.N.T. with just one pound of impurities. This they**

thought would surely be safe to transport, and so it was put on board an English ship. However when that ship reached Halifax, Canada, the 16,000 lbs. exploded, doing tremendous damage. [The American] chemists then knew that they mut eliminate every particle of impurity before this explosive could be sent across the sea. Finally they secured snow water, which was absolutely pure, and every particle of impure matter was eradicated. They then experimented to see how best to pack it to make it perfectly safe, and after many tests discovered that it must be packed in ice about the size of hail stones, and then it would be safe to ship around the world. With this knowledge [before the reader], [one is] astounded to read in Job 38:22-23 (1500 B.C.) these words: "*Hast thou entered into the treasures of the snow? Or hast thou seen the treasures of the hail; which I have reserved against the time of trouble, against the day of battle and of war?*" These words evidently refer to T.N.T. which can only be made safe for use by entering into the treasures of the snow and the hail, and besides it is only good for "times of trouble and war." It cannot be used like dynamite in times of peace, for it will destroy the fertility of the soil wherever it is used. Thus again the Bible is seen to be the most up-to-date Book in all the world. Why? **Because it is inspired of God.**

No wonder that prince of modern scientists, Sir John Herschell, has said: "**All human discoveries seem to have been made, only to confirm more and more the truths of the Bible.**"

The Bible is not a scientific Book, but when it makes a scientific statement, that statement can always be relied upon. For instance in Gen. 2:7 we read: "And the Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground...." Infidel scientists have spent fortunes to disprove this statement, but they have not been able to find any ingredient in man's body that is not in the dust. Then in Gen. 2:21 [one] reads: "And the Lord God caused a deep sleep to fall upon Adam, and he slept: and he took one of his ribs, and closed up the flesh instead thereof;." Sir Jas. Simpson, the discoverer of chloroform, admits that this statement in Genesis led him to his great discovery. He believed the Bible to be God's Book, and therefore concluded that God would know far better than man how to perform a surgical operation. **Again [one] sees that the Bible is the most up-to-date Book in all the world.**

No wonder many of the most scholarly and logical of [the] modern scientists have revered the Bible as God's inspired Book.

For a comprehensive list of these scientists see Bettex's, "Science and Christianity," pp. 246-248. Here you will find such names as Copernicus, Kepler, Isaac Newton, Cuvier, Sir Wm. and Sir John Herschell, Leibnitz, Lavoisier, Liebig, Secchi, Faraday, Agassiz, Sir Wm. Dawson, Hugh Miller, Guyot, Dana, Geo. Fred. Wright and others.

In 1865 the six hundred and seventeen members of "The British Association for the Advancement of Science," including the very greatest scientists of the British Empire, drew up a document setting forth their views on the relation between science and the Bible. This remarkable document, signed by these six hundred and seventeen names, is today accessible to any one in the Bodleian Library in Oxford, England. [The writer of this paper] will now quote from the first three paragraphs [of this most remarkable document]:

"We, the undersigned students of the natural sciences, desire to express our sincere regrets that researches into scientific truth are perverted by some, in our times, into occasions for casting doubt upon the truth and authenticity of the Holy Scriptures.

"We conceive that it is impossible for the Word of God, as written in the Book of Nature, and God's Word written in the Holy Scripture, to contradict one another, however, much they may appear to differ.

"We are not forgetful that physical science is not complete, but is only in a condition of progress, and that at present, our finite reason enables us only to see as through a glass darkly; and we confidently believe that a time will come when the two records will be seen to agree in every particular."

Reader, when as late as 1865 six hundred and seventeen of [England's] greatest modern scientific scholars, every one of whom would rank higher as an all around scholar and thinker than most of the leading Modernists [of the] day, would sign such a document as this, surely it ought to prove the fallacy of that oft repeated remark, "**All scholarship is against the Bible as God's inspired Book**."

Prof. G.J. Romanes tells us that he drifted away from the faith, and made himself believe the Bible was not God's Book. Later he came back to his own university, Cambridge, and while

there was converted. Then he accepted the Bible as inspired of God. What brought about this change in this great scientist, this bosom friend of Darwin? He tells us in his work, "Thoughts On Religion," p. 137. He says: "The curious thing was that all the most illustrious names (at Cambridge) were ranged on the side of orthodoxy. Sir W. Manson, Sir George Stokes, Professors Tait, Adams, Clark, Maxwell, and Bayley-not to mention a number of lesser lights, such as Routte, Todhunter, Ferrers, etc.-were all avowed Christians."

Reader, when Modernists again tell you that all scholarship is against the Bible as God's Book, just attribute this remark to their ignorance.

No wonder Immanuel Kant, as great a thinker as Germany has ever produced has said: "**The existence of the Bible as a Book for the people is the greatest benefit which the human race has ever experienced. Every attempt to belittle it, or to do away with it entirely, is a crime against humanity.**" (See W.H. Burn's book, "The Higher Critic's Bible," p. 42.) In the face of these words, were that great philosopher (Kant) living today, he **would count all Modernists enemies of the human race, for they have belittled the Bible in the estimation of mankind generally.**

No wonder the greatest scientists, philosophers, and archaeologists...have upheld the Bible as God's own Book, **for not one Bible statement (*correctly interpreted*) has ever yet been proven false. [The reader knows that] many of these [Bible] statements have been denied [by the modernists].... [*However, as can be demonstrated*], hundreds of archaeological discoveries have confirmed Bible assertions formerly denied by all Modernists. No [*archaeological discovery*] has ever yet proven a single Bible statement to be false.**

LORD, HELP US TO STUDY THY WORD SO THAT WE MAY BE ABLE TO RECOGNIZE FALSE TEACHERS WHEN THEY COME AMONGST US.